UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/639,442	08/13/2003	Benad Goldwasser	A-9430	5756	
	7590 01/14/2008 ASSON & GITLER, P.C		EXAMINER		
CRYSTAL CENTER 2, SUITE 522 2461 SOUTH CLARK STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3843			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3736		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	01/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. (1			
	10/639,442	GOLDWASSER, BENA	√D (
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this community ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (October 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• •) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applority documents have been recau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/06/2007 and 09/11/2007. 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2007 has been entered.

Notice of Amendment

2. In response to the amendment filed on 10/31/2007, amended claim(s) 1, 11, and 16 is/are acknowledged. The current rejections of the claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn.

The following new and reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/06/2007 and 09/11/2007 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 5. Claims 1-7 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choy (US 3,895,637) in view of Long et al. (US 2003/0153866 A1, hereinafter Long).
- 6. For claims 1-16, Choy discloses the claimed gastrointestinal apparatus (10) and method of use thereof, comprising:
- a guidewire (17) configured for introduction through a rectum of a subject having a distal stop (32);
- a gastrointestinal tool (18) having a chamfer and a bore (as best seen in Figures 1-4) attached to said guidewire and said gastrointestinal tool comprising a catheter (column 1 lines 5-10) having a lumen for introduction of medical instruments and fluids (column 1 lines 10-16 and 48-54) or an image viewing device (column 1 lines 10-16); and
- an inflatable device or sleeve (19) operably equipped for inflation via a channel (16)
 and configured to pull said guidewire away from said rectum and through a colon of
 a subject (column 1 lines 48-54).
- 7. Choy discloses the claimed gastrointestinal apparatus and methods of use thereof except for expressly disclosing that after the guidewire is pulled by the inflatable device it follows that the gastrointestinal tool slides relative to said guidewire. Long teaches a gastrointestinal apparatus and methods of use thereof wherein after a guidewire (540) is pulled by a pulling device (500) it follows that a gastrointestinal tool (524) slides over and relative to said guidewire (as best seen in Figure 21) (paragraphs 46 and 47). All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the

art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. All of the component parts are known in Choy and Long. The only difference is the combination of the component parts into a single device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the components as taught by Choy with the components as taught by Long to achieve the predictable results increasing the efficacy of a gastrointestinal traversing apparatus to treat a patient by configuring it with a variety of service, therapeutic, and/or diagnostic devices.

8. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choy in view of Long and in further view of DeMarco (US 5,353,807). Choy in view of Long discloses the claimed gastrointestinal apparatus and methods of use thereof except for expressly disclosing the diagnostic or therapeutic tool comprising a cutting, sampling, and/or magnetic device. DeMarco teaches a gastrointestinal apparatus comprising a catheter with cutting, sampling, and magnetic devices (column 1 lines 5-53). All of the component parts are known in Choy in view of Long and DeMarco. The only difference is the combination of the component parts into a single device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the components as taught by Choy in view of Long with the components as taught by DeMarco to achieve the predictable results increasing the efficacy of a gastrointestinal traversing apparatus to treat a patient by configuring it with a variety of service, therapeutic, and/or diagnostic devices.

Response to Amendment

9. The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 10/31/2007 is sufficient to overcome the potential rejection of claims 1-16 based upon 35 USC 102(e) under Gross et al. (WO 2004/010858 A2), specifically pages 10-11, figure 17, and claims 24-25.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J.H./
Jeff Hoekstra
Examiner, Art Unit 3736